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Background
Throughout the history of liver transplantation many improvements have been made in the field of surgical technique. It is beyond the scope of this paper to review all aspects of surgical technique in liver transplantation; thus, in this review we focus on the description of our current technique in most cases, which is orthotopic liver transplantation with preservation of the inferior vena cava and temporary portocaval shunt. We advocate this technique because it has been demonstrated that it achieves better haemodynamic stability during the anhepatic phase, transfusion can be reduced and renal function is improved. The different options for vascular anastomoses are described, particularly the options for arterial anastomoses in case of finding a non-adequate recipient hepatic artery. Technical possibilities for patients with preoperative portal vein thrombosis and the procedure in case of domino or sequential liver transplantation are further explained.
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Introduction
Since the pioneering times of liver transplantation (LT) in the early 1960s, innumerable improvements have been made, not only in immunosuppression [1] but also in surgical technique.

The technique of orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) as first developed at the University of Colorado in Denver [2], and afterwards at the University of Pittsburgh [3, 4] and at Cambridge [5, 6], has been improved by some technical procedures mainly focused on achieving haemodynamic stability, adequate vascular and biliary anastomoses, and perfect haemostasis.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to review all aspects of LT; thus, we will try to review the main techniques used in OLT. In particular, we will describe our most frequently used technique, which is OLT with preservation of the inferior vena cava and temporary portocaval shunt; other technical possibilities will also be named.

A brief review of some special situations (portal vein thrombosis and domino OLT and their technical possibilities is also provided.

Abdominal incision and exposure
Adequate exposure is crucial to allow the appropriate dissection needed for native liver heptectomy. The most commonly used incisions are the bilateral subcostal incision with midline extension, named by Sir Roy Calne as the "Mercedes" incision, and the inverted J incision, named the Makuiuchi incision. With any of these incisions exposure is excellent. Currently, we advocate the use of Makuiuchi's incision, except in cases of previous surgery or huge splenomegaly that can necessitate the part of the left-sided incision.

Native liver removal
The native liver removal begins with dissection of the hepatic hilum. The dissection is carried down to the hepatic artery, which is divided above its bifurcation. The most frequent site chosen for the subsequent anastomoses is the region of the common hepatic artery and gastroduodenal artery. Then the cystic duct is cannulated and division of the common duct above the cystic duct is carried out. It is important to take care to preserve the longitudinal vessels supplying the common bile duct.

The next step is the portal vein transection. Management of the portal vein will depend on the chosen OLT technique (veno-venous bypass or preservation of the inferior vena cava).

It had already been demonstrated by the initial experimental OLT in dogs that some type of bypass would be needed to tolerate the anhepatic phase [7]. Even though cirrhotic patients could better tolerate portal clamping, it was shown by Pittsburgh studies in the early 1980s that OLT with veno-venous bypass, without anticoagulation [8], was performed with better haemo-
dynamic stability and lower blood needs (Figure 1). Nevertheless, some complications have been described when bypass is used [9], and some haemodynamic alterations cannot be avoided [10]. For this reason, in 1989 Tzakis et al. described the technique of OLT with preservation of the inferior vena cava, called the "piggy-back" technique [11]. It has been shown that with the use of this technique OLT can be performed with better haemodynamic stability, lower blood transfusion requirements and shorter operative time [12-14].

Although the "piggy-back" technique improves the haemodynamic stability during the anhepatic phase, the portal vein clamping induces portal hypertension and splanchnic congestion, which can induce renal dysfunction and make dissection more difficult. In 1993, Tzakis et al. [15], and afterwards Belghiti et al. in 1995 [16, 17], described the use of temporary portocaval shunt in OLT. It has already been demonstrated that the use of temporary portocaval shunt associated with the preservation of the inferior vena cava can be performed safely in most patients, without the need for veno-venous bypass, and with haemodynamic and renal improvements (Table 1) [18, 19]. We could indeed confirm this fact with a prospective randomised study that demonstrated that the association of a temporary portocaval shunt with the preservation of the inferior vena cava technique achieved better haemodynamic stability during the anhepatic phase, and this was associated with lower blood transfusion requirements and better renal function, mainly in those patients with higher portal blood flow or higher portocaval gradient [20]. It should be noted that temporary portocaval shunt is particularly useful in patients with fulminant hepatitis. These patients do not have hepatofugal circulation and tolerate poorly the fluid overload necessary to maintain haemodynamic status during the anhepatic phase [17].

In view of these results, we advocate that this technique is performed in all cases.

After arterial and hepatic duct section, the portal vein is dissected widely. Afterwards, the anterior face of the inferior vena cava is exposed. After clamping and section of the portal vein, the vena cava is laterally clamped, and an end-to-side anastomosis with a running suture with 5/0 polypropylene (Prolene 5/0; Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) is performed (Figure 2a, b). The hepatectomy can then be performed safely, with the preservation of the caval and portal flow. The early hepatic devascularization, and the absence of splanchnic congestion due to the portocaval shunt, facilitate the division of hepatic ligaments, ligation of small hepatic veins and further mobilisation of the liver.

Subsequently, the complete dissection of the anterior face of the lower caval vein is done by ligation of the small vessels that join the caudate lobe to the caval vein. To complete the exposure of the right hepatic vein, the hepatocaval or Makuuchi's ligament must be divided. Depending on the anatomic distribution and the size of the hepatic veins, we will decide to ligate and section the right hepatic vein, or if possible join it creating a common hole with the middle and left hepatic veins. In some cases the anatomic distribution of the recipient hepatic veins makes it difficult to join them. In such cases, it is preferable to create an orifice from the middle and left
hepatic veins and extended caudally to the lower caval vein, thus performing a "face-a-face" venacavaplasty [21,22].

It is essential to achieve enough long venous cuffs for the subsequent anastomoses. Once all hepatic veins have been exposed, they are clamped, while avoiding clamping the caval vein.

**Vascular anastomoses**

After the removal of the native liver, the liver graft can be implanted. Before initiating the vascular reconstruction, the surgical field must be completely ready, with perfect haemostasis, and adequate vascular cuffs prepared.

The liver allograft implantation begins with the suture of the donor upper vena cava to the cuff created with the three recipient hepatic veins (or the hepatic venous orifice created with the middle and left hepatic veins with an extension inferiorly onto the inferior caval vein), by a running 3/0 polypropylene suture (Prolene 3/0; Ethicon).

Afterwards, we can perform the portal or arterial anastomoses. If we begin with the portal one, then the portocaval anastomosis has to be taken down. If we begin with the arterial anastomoses, the portocaval shunt can be maintained until the arterial anastomoses are finished. The "piggy-back" technique with temporary portocaval shunt allows one to choose the order of graft revascularization. We prefer to do the arterial anastomosis first and then the portal anastomosis and to perform simultaneous arterial and portal revascularisation of the graft. Although some studies have suggested some advantages with initial arterial revascularisation [23], further studies are needed to confirm these findings.

To take down the portocaval shunt, the portal side is clamped, and a vascular stapling device (TA 30; Autosuture, Elancourt, France) closes the caval defect. Before performing the portal anastomoses, the graft is washed with 1 L of lactate Ringer at 38 °C (although this is our preference, washing with blood or cold perfusion has also been described), with the patient positioned in the Trendelenburg position. The inferior opening of the donor vena cava is left open to allow the drainage of the effluent from the flush of the graft. After washing the graft, the caudal opening of the donor inferior vena cava is closed with a vascular stapling device (TA 30; Autosuture).

Then the portal anastomosis is performed, with a running 5/0 polypropylene suture (Prolene 5/0; Ethicon).

The arterial anastomosis is performed by a running or

---

**Table 1.** Temporary portocaval shunt during OLT with vena cava preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>PCS group</th>
<th>No PCS group</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All patients</td>
<td></td>
<td>-9.6 ± 23</td>
<td>-19 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO decrease (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>22 ± 43</td>
<td>41 ± 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR increase (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLT without transfusion (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal flow ≥ 1000 ml/min†</td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.5 ± 17</td>
<td>-21 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO decrease (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 ± 19</td>
<td>27 ± 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR increase (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLT without transfusion (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-C gradient ≥ 16 mmHg‡</td>
<td></td>
<td>-12 ± 23</td>
<td>-23 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO decrease (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3 ± 34</td>
<td>50 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR increase (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLT without transfusion (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both characteristics‡</td>
<td></td>
<td>-8.8 ± 28</td>
<td>-27 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO decrease (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.9 ± 45</td>
<td>38 ± 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVR increase (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLT without transfusion (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCS, temporary portocaval shunt. CO, cardiac output; SVR, systemic vascular resistance. CO decrease, SVR increase and urinary output refer to the anhepatic phase.

† At the beginning of OLT.
surgery to create an appropriate vascular cuff. On the other hand, if the recipient hepatic artery is not adequate to perform a safe anastomosis, several alternatives have been evolved to solve this problem. In most cases, the arterialisation of the graft will be achieved using the aorta, through either direct anastomoses or the placement of an arterial conduit between the aorta and the donor hepatic artery [24]. Although different grafts can be used, the preferable one is a graft of donor iliac artery. The location of choice for the graft is an end-to-side anastomosis to the supraceliac aorta. Sometimes it is not possible to anastomose the graft at the supraceliac aorta; in such cases, the origination of the arterial graft could be the infrarenal aorta [25]. The graft then has to be passed through the transverse mesocolon, anterior to the pancreas, to reach the hepatic hilum.

One alternative to the graft, particularly suitable when splenomegaly is present, is to use the recipient's splenic artery for arterial reconstruction [26].

**Biliary tract reconstruction**

After performing the cholecystectomy, the biliary tract reconstruction is done. Our standard technique is the end-to-end choledocostomy with an interrupted suture using PDS 5/0 (Violet polydioxanone; Ethicon), without a T-tube stent.

In some cases of inadequacy of the biliary duct size, or depending on the underlying disease (primary sclerosing cholangitis), a Roux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy will be needed.

Like most centres, we classically used a choledocostomy stented with a T-tube. Nevertheless, since the report from Rouch et al. in 1990 demonstrating that most of the early biliary complications were T-tube-related [27] we decided to use a choledocostomy without a T-tube, whenever possible. With this approach we are confident with this type of anastomosis, with a rate of biliary tract complications similar to other series, and avoiding the T-tube-related complications [28]. Thus, this has been our method of choice since 1991.

**Haemostasis and closure**

After finishing vascular and biliary anastomoses, perfect haemostasis must be achieved before closure. Efforts towards complete haemostasis will avoid re-explorations interrupted 6/0 polypropylene suture (Prolene 6/0; Ethicon) generally at the level of the common hepatic artery bifurcation, or at the cuff created with the gastroduodenal artery take-off. If the graft has some kind of arterial anomaly it should be solved at the bench.
and postoperative renal and hepatic function disturbances.

Before the wound closure we usually perform a reperfusion biopsy at the left lateral sector. Then drainage is introduced; we place one to three drains depending on the case. The wound is then closed with a two-layer running suture.

**Special situations and technical possibilities**

**Portal vein thrombosis**

The presence of portal vein thrombosis was considered a contraindication to OLT. Nowadays, it is accepted that portal vein thrombosis does not preclude OLT, although...
it increases surgical complexity. Different operative techniques have been described to solve this problem; the use of any of these techniques will depend on the characteristics of the thrombosis (acute or chronic), the degree of thrombosis (partial or complete), and mainly its extension throughout the splenomesenteric tree [29]. Although preoperative knowledge of portal vein disease greatly facilitates operative strategy, the status of the portal vein and the extent of thrombosis will definitively be determined after portal vein dissection.

A thrombectomy can be attempted in some cases (acute or partial thrombosis), but in case of complete chronic thrombosis thrombectomy would need some intimal dissection or eversion endarterectomy that may be related to higher postoperative portal thrombosis, and higher ischaemic times and transfusion requirements [29, 30]. Thus, in such cases of complete chronic thrombosis, we advocate the use of an extra-anatomic venous graft [31, 32]. As described, a venous graft from the donor iliac vein has to be placed from the infra-pancreatic superior mesenteric vein to the donor portal vein. The graft is placed through a tunnel in the transverse mesocolon, anterior to the pancreas.

Other alternatives described for special cases include the use of some enlarged collateral vein or the coronary vein.

In the rare cases of thrombosis of the whole splenomesenteric tree, the arterialisation of the portal vein [33] or cavoportal hemitransposition [34] are the only technical options available.

Domino OLT
In case of domino OLT, the patient with familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy is at the same time donor and recipient [35, 36]. Hepatectomy in those patients begins with cholecystectomy and section of the hepatic duct. As the patient is the donor of the liver, resection of enough long retrohepatic caval vein is necessary (Figure 3); to achieve this, the suprarenal and diaphragmatic veins are ligated. Once enough caval vein has been dissected, a tolerance test is done, clamping both the caval and portal veins. In case of haemodynamic changes that would preclude performing the hepatectomy, a venous bypass will be required. In such cases, we use a portofemoroaxillar venous bypass [10] (Figure 1).

Next the hepatectomy is performed, without clamping the vascular flow until the last moment. Is necessary to achieve long arterial and portal segments both for the graft and for the patient. The common hepatic artery is clamped and divided just before the take-off of the gastroduodenal artery. The portal vein is clamped and divided just 1 cm below portal bifurcation. Finally, the caval vein is divided above and below the liver.

Once the hepatectomy has been performed, the liver has to be prepared to be the graft of another patient. Perfusion through the portal vein and the hepatic artery has to be done at the bench procedure. The biliary tract is also washed. We think it is not necessary to heparinise. The lower vena cava is closed with a running 3/0 polypropylene suture (Prolene 3/0; Ethicon). Arterial reconstruction is carried out if necessary.

The procedure of revascularisation in the patient with familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy is done as for the classical technique. The usual sequence begins with sewing the upper vena cava and the lower vena cava. The liver is then flushed through the portal vein, and the fluid is allowed to escape through the anterior part of the lower caval anastomoses. Whether the portal or arterial anastomoses are performed next, depends upon the tolerance. It should be noted that the arterial anastomosis has to be done at the common hepatic artery, because the cuff between the common hepatic artery and the gastroduodenal artery will be used in the recipient of the "domino" liver.
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